

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/558,589	04/26/2000	Amro A Younes	53921/79	1057
. 7	7590 09/12/2003			
Blake Cassels & Graydon LLP 199 Bay Street Box 25 Commerce Court West Toronto, ON M5L1A9			EXAMINER	
			PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M	
CANADA	MOLIAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	$\overline{\kappa}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	< 1			
Office Action Summers	09/558,589	YOUNES ET AL.	<u>X</u>			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this commission is	Justin M Philpott	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, my within the statutory minimum vill apply and will expire SIX (6, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 l</u>	<u> March 2001</u>					
2a) ☐ This action is FINA L. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremen	t.				
Application Papers	r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		ected to by the Evaminer				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).				
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		n).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application h	as been received.	•			
Attachment(s)	to priority under 35 O.	C.C. 33 120 and/or 121.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities: "point-point multipoint overhead" (page 17, line 26) should be changed to "point-to-multipoint overhead", "point-to-point multipoint overhead" (page 18, line 2) should be changed to "point-to-multipoint overhead", and "point-to-point multipoint overhead" (page 21, lines 14-15) should be changed to "point-to-multipoint overhead". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3-6 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2665

Specifically, claims 3 and 20 recite the limitation "an address of the interface card" in claims 2 and 19, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Applicant may overcome this rejection by amending the claims to instead recite, e.g., "an address of an interface card" or "an address of the interface device".

Claims 4-6 are rejected for being dependent upon a rejected base claim. Applicant may overcome this rejection by amending claim 3 as suggested above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 7-19 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,684,797 to Aznar et al.

Regarding claims 1, 9 and 15, Aznar teaches a method of processing a stream of data packets in a packet switch (e.g., FIG. 1; and col. 1, line 49 – col. 6, line 38) having one or more interface devices (e.g., receive side 111/input port adapter, transmit side 120/output port adapter) for servicing an input point (e.g., 101-P1), a first output point (e.g., 102-P1) and a second output point (e.g., 104-P2) of the switch, the method comprising the steps of: (a) configuring the device servicing the input point to attach overhead associated with a point-to-point connection (e.g., TP vector representing a single target port, see col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 19) to packets received at the input point in order to route the packets to the first output point; (b) configuring the device

Art Unit: 2665

servicing the first output point to receive and process the packets having the point-to-point overhead attached thereto (e.g., see col. 3, lines 46-52 wherein TP vector denotes overhead corresponding to a single output port, or a point-to-point connection); (c) configuring the device servicing the second output point to receive and process packets having overhead attached thereto which is associated with a point-to-multipoint connection for routing packets from the input point to the first output point and from the input point to the second output point (e.g., see col. 4, lines 38-60 wherein TP vector denotes overhead corresponding to a plurality of ports, or a point-to-multipoint connection); (d) configuring the device servicing the first output point to additionally receive and process packets having the point-to-multipoint overhead attached thereto (e.g., see col. 3, lines 20-30 wherein the device servicing the first output port is configured to receive and process TP vectors, or packets having point-to-multipoint overhead attached thereto); (e) configuring the device servicing the input point to attach the point-to-multipoint overhead to packets received at the input point only after step (d) is completed, thereby converting a point-topoint packet flow into a point-to-multipoint packet flow without disrupting the point-to-point packet flow (e.g., see col. 2, lines 15-22).

Regarding claims 2, 10 and 19, Aznar further teaches the steps of: (f) configuring the device servicing the second output point to stop receiving and processing packets having the point-to-multipoint overhead (e.g., resetting bits corresponding to ports, see col. 4, lines 15-19); (g) configuring the device servicing the input point to attach the point-to-point overhead packets received at the input (e.g., see col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 19); and (h) configuring the device servicing the first output to stop receiving and processing the packets having point-to-multipoint overhead only after step (g) is completed (e.g., wherein packets are only sent to designated ports

Art Unit: 2665

in accordance with received TP vectors, see col. 4, lines 1-54), thereby terminating the flow of packets to the second output point without disrupting the flow of packets to the first output point (e.g., see col. 2, lines 15-22 wherein a connection can be changed on the fly from point-to-multipoint to point-to-point).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 24, Aznar teaches a packet is a fixed-length cell (e.g., see col. 3, lines 46-49 regarding ATM cell).

Regarding claim 8, Aznar teaches the packet switch is a connection-oriented switch (e.g., see col. 1, lines 49-52).

Regarding claims 11, 16 and 21, Aznar teaches the point-to-point overhead comprises a unique interface card address (e.g., a TP vector for a point-to-point connection comprises one bit set to 1 wherein the specific placement of the 1 within the vector corresponds to a specific unique port, or interface card).

Regarding claims 12, 17 and 22, Aznar teaches the point-to-multipoint overhead (e.g., TP vector) comprises a multicast interface card address referencing a plurality of interface cards (e.g., each bit of TP vector corresponds to one of a plurality of ports, or interface cards).

Regarding claims 13, 18 and 23, Aznar teaches the point-to-point overhead and the point-to-multipoint overhead comprise identical bitmaps wherein the setting of a single bit identifies a point-to-point connection and the setting of plural bits identifies a point-to-multipoint connection (e.g., see col. 3, lines 10-20).

Application/Control Number: 09/558,589 Page 6

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3-6 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aznar in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,959,972 to Hamami.

Regarding claims 3 and 20, Aznar teaches the method as discussed above regarding claims 2 and 19, and further teaches the point-to-point overhead comprises a unique interface card address (e.g., a TP vector for a point-to-point connection comprises one bit set to 1 wherein the specific placement of the 1 within the vector corresponds to a specific unique port, or interface card).

However, Aznar may not specifically disclose each switch point is further referenced by a virtual path identifier.

Hamami teaches a method of port/link redundancy in an ATM switch. Specifically, Hamami discloses that it is well known in the art of ATM switches that an ATM header comprises a virtual path identifier (e.g., see col. 1, lines 43-55) which allows the network to associate a given cell with a given connection. Furthermore, the port/link redundancy invention of Hamami provides improved connectivity reliability (e.g., see col. 2, lines 34-49). Thus, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the teachings of Hamami to the method of Aznar in order to allow the network to associate a given cell with a given connection and, further, to provide improved connectivity reliability.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 4, as discussed above regarding claims 11, 16 and 21, Aznar teaches the point-to-point overhead comprises a unique interface card address (e.g., a TP vector for a point-to-point connection comprises one bit set to 1 wherein the specific placement of the 1 within the vector corresponds to a specific unique port, or interface card).

Regarding claim 5, as discussed above regarding claims 12, 17 and 22, Aznar teaches the point-to-multipoint overhead (e.g., TP vector) comprises a multicast interface card address referencing a plurality of interface cards (e.g., each bit of TP vector corresponds to one of a plurality of ports, or interface cards).

Regarding claim 6, as discussed above regarding claims 13, 18 and 23, Aznar teaches the point-to-point overhead and the point-to-multipoint overhead comprise identical bitmaps wherein the setting of a single bit identifies a point-to-point connection and the setting of plural bits identifies a point-to-multipoint connection (e.g., see col. 3, lines 10-20).

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,052,373 to Lau discloses a fault tolerant multicast ATM switch fabric and U.S. Patent No. 6,147,992 to Giroir et al. discloses group addressing in high speed packet switching networks.

Art Unit: 2665

Page 8

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin M Philpott whose telephone number is 703.305.7357. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D Vu can be reached on 703.308.6602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.305.4750.

Justin M Philpott

Some

HUY D. VU

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600